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Abstract

The current study aims to show the transition skills of sixth grade students between the representations (numerical, model, number line and verbal) given in addition-subtraction operations in fractions and to analyse the ability of the students to construct each representation type. This research, case study method was used in terms of the subject and process followed. The study group of the research is the sixth grade students of three middle schools in Kastamonu province placed in the northern part of Turkey. The research participants consisted of 59 students, 31 of which are male and 28 of which are female. Data collected through “Multiple Representations in Fraction Operations Test”. According to research findings, it has been determined that the achievement of students in using different representations in operations with fractions is higher in addition operation compared to subtraction operation. In addition, it turns out that students are more successful in numerical-numerical, model-model, model-numerical, and numerical-model transitions compared to other transitions in both operation types. It was also found out that students fail in other representation types such as number line and verbal representation transition situations.
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Introduction

Most people define mathematics as a field consisting of abstract concepts, algorithms, and symbols without any connection with real world (e.g. Cramer, 2003). For this reason, researchers emphasize the necessity of teaching mathematics as an integrated concept and processing system based on certain patterns and associations that exist in the real world (Nair & Pool, 1991; Resnick & Ford, 1981). This necessity causes long debates in the need for using appropriate representations in teaching and learning of mathematics in terms of having complete understandings of mathematical concepts, expressing mathematical ideas and relationship between concepts (Duval, 2006; Goldin & Shteingold, 2001). Moreover, taking advantage of different representations in the teaching of a mathematical concept and making transitions between different forms of representations are critical in terms of a complete internalization of mathematics. (Kaput, Blanton, & Moreno, 2008; Lesh, 1999; National Council of Teachers of Mathematics [NCTM], 2000). Hence, the use of representations has been a crucial topic in learning of mathematics over the past three decades in standards of school mathematics for developing students’ abilities to use appropriate representations and to make correct and robust translations among them (Ministry of National Education [MoNE, 2013]; National Council of Teachers of Mathematics [NCTM], 2000; Van de Walle, Karp, & Bay-Williams, 2010). However, studies focusing on students’ abilities in use of representations indicate that middle school students have inadequate knowledge and ability to construct appropriate representations and to transform from one representation to the others (Gagatsis & Elia, 2004; Neria & Amit, 2004).

Multiple representations can be defined as a process of visualizing and concretizing abstract concepts or symbols in everyday life in general terms, as well as the definition of the relationship between objects or symbols in mathematics (Kaput, 1989). The theory of multiple representations in mathematics education has begun to gain importance with the studies of Dienes. Influenced by Piaget’s theories and made studies with Bruner, Dienes called the concept of multiple representations as “Perceptual Diversity Principle.” According to this principle, presenting a conceptual structure in multiple forms as perceptually identical as possible will make it easier for the student to have the mathematical significance of abstracting (Dienes, 1960). In this context, concepts should be able to be presented in different forms. Multiple representations and the learning relationship point to a learning environment with a particular focus on conceptual learning (Dufour-Janvier, Berdnarz, & Belanger, 1987). In this context, mathematics teachers need to consider and effectively use multiple representations of information in verbal, numerical, visual graphical or numerical forms, with the support of developing technology, rather than using only intensive verbal and mathematical language.

Research on multiple representations in mathematics teaching has shown that using multiple representations helps students better understand and improve their problem solving performances (Ainsworth, Bibby, & Wood, 1997; Akkuş-Cikla, 2004; Moseley & Brenner, 1997; Sert, 2007). If it is not possible to switch between different representations, it can be said that the mathematics cannot be understood at the conceptual level (Ainsworth, 1999; Van der Meij & De Jong, 2006). When studies focusing on multiple representations are examined, it has been shown that the efforts of the students to determine the ability to switch between different representations are based on problem solving (Corter & Zahrer, 2004;
and the misconceptions they have about fractions (Bib, 2007; Akkuş-Çikla, 2004; Sert, 2007). However, there has not been a detailed examination of the addition and subtraction operation of fractions between multiple representations and multiple representations.

In mathematics education context, worldwide research on students’ understanding about fractions and fraction operations indicates that learning fractions is a complex and difficult process. The impediments in students’ reasoning of fractions included factors such as an early emphasis on whole-numbers (e.g. Behr, Harel, Post & Lesh, 1994) and insufficient abilities to transform between multiple representations (Tunç-Pekkan, 2015). On the other hand, it is claimed that even though students can easily carry out the algorithms with fractions, they do not understand the meanings of such algorithms (Mick & Snicrope, 1989; Weerane-Heibert & Heibert, 1983). Considering students’ difficulties and misconceptions about addition of fractions, researchers suggest using multiple representations in teaching and learning of fractions meaningfully in order to overcome students’ difficulties and to develop their conceptual understanding of fractions (e.g. Alacaci, 2010; Tunç-Pekkan, 2015).

Studies about fractions generally focus on the problems that students have experienced in operations with fractions (Orhun, 2007; Soylu & Soylu, 2005), the problems they have in creating different representations of fractions (Yıldırım, 2007; Pesen, 2008), the representation preferences that students use in fractions (Kılçık & Özdaş, 2010), and the misconceptions they have about fractions (Biber, Tuna, & Aktaş, 2013). However, no studies have been found that examine the preferences of students, achievement situations in their preferences and representation creation situations. Developments in the way students think about representation in mathematical learning have revealed the need for the use of multiple representations (Pape & Tchoshanov, 2001). In this context, there are unanswered questions about the qualities of creating and using multiple representations, and the questions of this research are worth answering. Again, the results of this research are important for the review of classroom teaching. On the other hand, these research findings of this study are thought to contribute to the use of alternative pedagogical approaches to mathematics educators and researchers, and to investigate the effects of these approaches on classroom settings.

Purpose of the research

Among the purposes of this study are to show the transition skills of sixth grade students between the representations (numerical, model, number line and verbal) given in addition-subtraction operations in fractions and to analyze the ability of the students to construct each representation type.

Problems of the research

1) How are the transition skills of students between the multiple representations involved in the addition and subtraction operations in fractions?

2) How are the skills of the students to create different types of representations used in the addition and subtraction operations in fractions?

Methodology

In this research, case study method, which is one of the interactive patterns in the qualitative research approach, was used in terms of the subject and process followed. The case study is a method that allows the researcher to focus on a specific situation such as an event, a person or a group and investigate the situation in the cause-effect relation with the obtained data in more detail (Cepni, 2012). In the case study, in the questions of “How?” or/and “Why?” are included in the research design and the case is examined in depth (Yıldırım & Şimşek, 2013). In this study, the transitions of sixth graders were defined between the representations in the addition and subtraction of fractions according to the question of “How?” We also investigated the difficulties encountered in the process of creating each representation using the question “Why?”.

Study group

The study group of the research is the sixth grade students of three middle schools in Kastamonu province placed in the northern part of Turkey. Purposeful (deliberate) sampling method was used to determine the participants (Yıldırım & Şimşek, 2013). Criteria for determining grade level included curriculum coverage of the objectives regarding operations in fractions, and in this context, sixth grade students have been used as the targeted population. In determining the schools to be worked with, the provincial general achievement average was taken into account and three schools with average level of achievement were included in the study.

Initially, a total of 73 sixth grade students, 38 males and 35 females, participated in the research. However, as a result of the pre-evaluation of the application, it was determined that 14 students did not answer the questions at all and they were excluded from the further examination. Finally, the research participants consisted of 59 students, 31 of which are male and 28 of which are female.

Data collection tools

Two data collection tools were used in this study. The first tool is a demographic questionnaire containing students’ gender, age and mathematics class notes. As the second data collection tool, “Multiple Representations in Fraction Operations Test” was used (Appendix 1). In order to construct the test, firstly the curriculum objectives related to the concepts were examined. In accordance with the number of objectives and the course hours devoted to the addition and subtraction of fractions, a trial test was prepared with 30 test items consisting of 8 main questions and sub-questions. In order to determine the validity and reliability of the test, three expert opinions were taken from the mathematics education field. Coverage validity of the instrument achieved through the step that the experts indicate whether each test item is eligible by marking the “suitable,” “not suitable” and “needs to be changed” options according to their intelligibility, quality and level criteria.

In order to determine the validity and reliability of the 30-question trial test, the pre-test was conducted on 59 students in two middle schools in Kastamonu (Turkey) province center. According to the results of the application, item difficulty index and item discrimination index were calculated for each question (Table 1). According to the item difficulty and discrimination analysis, it was found that the items with the item discrimination index between -1 and 0 were removed from the test,
the items between 0 and 0.30 were corrected and the items higher than 0.30 were used directly in the test.

Table 1. Results of multiple representations in fraction operations test item analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>Distinctiveness</th>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>Distinctiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The KR-20 reliability coefficient of this test was calculated as 0.96. As a result, “Multiple Representations in Fraction Operations Test” prepared in line with item analysis and expert opinions was used as data collection tool. The final state of the test consists of 8 main questions and a total of 30 open ended questions including three inter-representative transitions under these questions. The distribution of the questions in the test is given in Table 2.

Coding procedures

At the beginning of the study, in order to create the coding list, the related literature was examined, the representation types determined in the addition-subtraction operations in fractions were developed and the representations to be used in the codes were decided. In Table 3, the criteria used to assess the skills of the students on the representation determined in the study are given. Two experts working independently were involved in the coding process of the data. Both experts have numerous studies regarding use of multiple representations in mathematics education. As a result of the first coding, the agreement rate (reliability coefficient) between coders was calculated as 88.7% according to the Miles and Huberman (1994) formula. The coders came together and discussed the items causing the dispute and reached an agreement on each item.

Table 2. Multiple Representations in Fraction Operations Test question distributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The type of representation the problem is given</th>
<th>Question number</th>
<th>Type of representation required to transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1a 5a</td>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1b 5b</td>
<td>Number line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1c 5c</td>
<td>Verbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>2a 6a</td>
<td>Number line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2b 6b</td>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2c 6c</td>
<td>Verbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>3 7</td>
<td>Number line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>3a 7a</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3b 7b</td>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>3c 7c</td>
<td>Verbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal</td>
<td>4 a 8a</td>
<td>Number line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4b 8b</td>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4c 8c</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data analysis

The achievement rate of the students related to transiting among the representations have calculated through correct answers given to the related questions. In this calculation, it is taken into account the expressions of the questions (in writing) and the representations which are used in the solution of the questions. By creating these two different categories, it is aimed to determine the direction of the transition. For example, if a question is given in a numerical form, and verbal explanations are sought in the solution, then a judgment has been reached that there is a transition from numerical representation to verbal representation in this question. Students’ skills of creating each representation was analyzed by means of the criteria mentioned in Table 3.

Findings

Findings regarding the transition skills of sixth grade students in the addition and subtraction of fractions are given in Table 4. When the table is examined for addition operation, it has been determined that the students are more successful in situations where the numerical response to the question given in the form of model representation (transition from model to numerical mode) and the cases where the numerical expression of the verbal questions is requested (verbal to numerical transition). Again, it turns out that the majority of students are successful in tran-
sitions requiring to construct model and numerical representations in the addition operation. On the other hand, students' performance in the transition where the number line representation and the verbal representation are required have been relatively low (between 14% and 22%).

Table 4. Students’ achievement rate in transiting between representations (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To:</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Numerical</th>
<th>Number line</th>
<th>Verbal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number line</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NR: Transition not required

When the findings of subtraction operation are examined from Table 4, it is seen that the achievement status of the students in general is lower than their achievement rates in the addition operation. Moreover, it was determined that the situation in which the students were most successful in transition between representations was determined as the transition which a numerical answer was required for the numerical question (59%). Again, it turns out that almost half of the students are successful in the questions requiring model-numerical and numerical-model transition in the subtraction operation. On the other hand, it is observed that in the case of the remaining representation transition pairs in the subtraction operation, the students show very low achievement, especially in transitions requiring verbal representation creation.

Issues encountered in forming representations

In this section, problems encountered about the representations are analyzed and presented according to previously determined criteria. The values given were obtained from the responses given to the questions to form each representation.

Issues in model representation forming

Table 5 lists the difficulties that students encounter in model representation during addition and subtraction in fractions. When the table is examined, students’ difficulties were encountered in all the steps that should be followed during the model representation forming process. While adding fractions on a model, students have made more mistakes in the steps determining the denominator and fulfilling the operations. It has been observed that students left more unanswered questions in the subtraction operation. Moreover, it is seen that the mistakes that students make during the steps of fulfilling the operations and determining the numerator are also more prevalent in the subtraction operation.

Figure 1 provides an example of a mistake in the denominator determination stage in addition operation. According to the figure, the student was asked to add up the fractions 2/12 and 4/12 given on the model. The student has drawn a figure of 8 units (denominator) and marked 6 units (numerator) in the model created in the answer and expressed the solution as 6/8. When performing the addition operation on the model representation, student is seen to not focus on denominator on the final answer, but only added up the hatched areas.

Table 5. Issues encountered in model representation forming (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Subtraction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Determining the denominator</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determining the numerator</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equalizing denominators if necessary</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfilling the operation</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unanswered</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An example of a mistake in performing operation is shown in Figure 2. Here, the student identified fractions given verbally (three tenths and two tenths) on the model separately, but on the model formed in the answer while performing the addition operation, drew a 10-unit figure (denominator) and marked 4 unit (numerator) and expressed the answer as 4/10. While the student was performing the addition operation in the form of a model representation, he could divide the whole into equal parts but could not mark the required part (numerator).

Figure 3 shows an example of a mistake in denominator equalization and performing an operation in transition from model representation to model representation. When the fractions given in the model representation are examined, it is observed that the student cannot divide the second whole in the same way as the first whole in terms of procedural skill (to achieve equal denominators), and could not divide into suitable unit in the new fraction formed when subtracted the unequal denominators from each other.

Issues encountered in numerical representation forming

In Table 6, the problems that students encounter while forming numerical representation are presented in accordance with predetermined criteria. It is seen from that mistakes were encountered in each of the steps to be followed in the process of creating a numerical representation while the frequencies of the mistakes encountered in conducting numeric operations were less than those in model operations of fractions. However, there was more
unanswered questions in numerical representations \( f = 84 \) than model ones \( f = 65 \).

5) Model bile verilen işlemi yapınız. (Perform the operation given on the model.)

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Student’s response} \\
\end{array} \]

**Figure 3.** Mistake in denominator equalization and performing an operation in transition from model representation to model representation (S72)

While adding fractions by using numerical representations, students suffered from more mistakes in the steps of performing the operation and writing the numerical expression of the representation. It has been observed that students left the questions in the subtraction operation unanswered more, mistakes were encountered more in the performing operation stage in subtraction operation.

**Table 6.** Issues encountered in numerical representation forming \( f \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Subtraction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Writing the numerical expression of the representation</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressing the operation numerically</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equalizing denominators if necessary</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing the operation</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unanswered</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the mistakes frequently encountered by the students in the numerical representation are examined, it is seen in figure 4 that the student who correctly express the fractions given in model representation cannot numerically subtract the fractions. It is understood from the operation performed, \( s \)he subtracted first numerator from the second to find to the numerator, and subtracted denominator from denominator to calculate the denominator. He performed the subtraction operation by thinking denominator and numerator separately. In other words, it is seen that the result is obtained by subtracting numerators and denominators by themselves.

5) Perform the operation given below and answer the questions \( a, b, c \) accordingly.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{a) Bu ifadeyi sadeleştiriniz. (Perform this operation using numerals.)} \\
\end{array} \]

**Figure 4.** Performing operation mistake in transition to model representation to numerical representation (S568)

In Figure 5, an exemplary case of denominator equalization mistake is shown. In this question, it is required to numerically subtract fractions given in numerical representation. When the student performs the operation it appears that he knows that denominator equalization is necessary but he performs the operation without extending the numerator with regards to obtaining an equal fraction by extending the fraction to equalize the denominator.

6) \( a \) ile \( b \) ve \( c \) puanı alınan bir sorunun \( a, b, c \) sıralarına göre cevaplanmasını isterseniz. (Perform the operation given below and answer the questions \( a, b, c \) accordingly.)

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Figure 5. Denominator equalization mistake in forming a numerical representation (S512)} \\
\end{array} \]

Issues encountered in number line representation forming

Table 7 presents the mistakes the students made when performing the addition and subtraction of fractions on the number line. It has been determined that students make numerous mistakes in the steps of expressing fractions on the number line (showing by arrows), determining the given operation on the number line and showing the result (by arrowing out), although the mistakes are encountered at each step in general. On the other hand, it has been observed that most students left these questions unanswered.

**Table 7.** Issues encountered in number line modeling forming \( f \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Subtraction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drawing the number line</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placing the integers</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separating by equal units (determining the denominator)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressing fractions (showing numerator with arrows)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determining the operation</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showing the result (arrowing out)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unanswered</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) Perform the operation given below and answer the questions \( a, b, c \) accordingly.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{b) Bu işlemi sayı ekseninde gösteriniz. (Perform this operation on the number line.)} \\
\end{array} \]

**Figure 6.** Separating into equal units (determining the denominator) (S564)

Figure 6 provides an example of one of the mistakes that students often make which is to divide the whole into equal parts and identify on the number line, i.e. placing the denominators. While the whole is required to be divided into twelve equal parts on the number line, it is seen that twelve lines were drawn on the whole and divided into thirteen parts.

In figure 7, there is an example of a mistake for performing the operation. The student was able to construct the number line correctly while performing the operation on the number line. However, when performing the addition operation on the number line, focused only on the fractional expressions, and identified the places of these on the number line and showed the numerically known (calculated) value on the number line. Student could not perform addition operation in fractions by using number line.
Neither the table nor the diagrams are present in this text. The text discusses issues encountered in verbal representations and presents examples of mistakes in creating scenarios and question roots. It also includes a table summarizing the mistakes encountered in verbal representations and concludes with a discussion of the findings.
and in the construction of fractional numbers is the basis for the development of fractional numbers (Verschaffel, 1983). The use of a number of models and manipulatives tools in introduction to fractions makes it easier to learn the concept of fractions and makes it easier for students to deal with fractions because it makes the fractions concrete for elementary school primary learners who are still in the concrete operations stage (Kieren, 1976).

In numerical representations, students were more challenged in the subtraction operation, and mistakes were made in the steps of performing the operation and writing the numerical expression of the representation. Particularly, in the fractions with different denominators, it is determined that the students continue their natural habits and that they consider the denominators and numerators as separate numbers and add. The relevant problem has been widely described in the literature (Carpenter, Corbourn, Reys, & Wilson, 1976; Işık & Kar, 2012).

In representation of number line, the difficulty experienced by the students in dividing number line into required denominator was the result of dividing into missing or more equal parts. Similar difficulties that students have experienced with respect to the number line have also been demonstrated by Pesen (2008). The situations in which the students create verbal representation types in the addition and subtraction operations of fractions are examined and different errors are noticed in the operation steps according to the results. In this case, where the students preferred non-fragmentable wholes, the situation emerged as perceiving the question as rational number rather than fraction meaning.

It was determined that, while performing addition and subtraction operation in fractions on the verbal representation, the students generally made mistakes at each step, but most mistakes were made in the forming suitable scenario and being able to express the operation given at the question root correctly stages. It has been found that the students made mistakes in the addition and subtraction operations in forming the root of the question. Moreover, setting up sentences that give the meaning of integer to a fraction was among the most frequent question root mistakes. Işık and Kar (2012), in the study they conducted, have also identified problems such as the inability of the students to perceive the quantities specified by the numbers in the fraction operations and the inability to reflect the operation to the root of the question. The content of the identified difficulties and the high number of difficulties identified in the established problems indicate that the students are lacking conceptual dimension for fractions and addition and subtraction operation in fractions. This result supports the conclusion that the difficulties oriented to fraction operations cannot be evaluated separately of difficulties in learning fractions and the roots are based on fraction concepts as indicated by Charalambous, Delaney, Mhiure, Hsu and Mesa (2010). Students’ difficulties in adding and subtracting fractions have concerned educators for years. Researchers have also explored what causes student errors such as adding (or subtracting) the numerators and the denominators. This exploration suggested that several cognitive factors might explain such errors. For instance, it was proposed that students often view fractions as two separate whole numbers (one corresponding to the numerator and another to the denominator) rather than as individual quantities (Carpenter et al., 1976), and that they are often mis-guided by inappropriate analogies (e.g., they believe that when adding or subtracting fractions it is legitimate to add or subtract the numerators and denominators because in the multiplication of fractions one multiplies the numerators and the denominators) (Vinner, Hershkowitz, & Bruckheimer, 1981).

Students’ difficulties in adding and subtracting fractions cannot be separated from their difficulties in learning fractions generally. Studies suggest that such difficulties have their roots in the complexity of the notion of fractions and in instructional approaches employed when teaching fractions (Ball, 1993; Behr, Harel, Post, & Lesh, 1993; Lamon, 1999). For example, meanings, models, and symbols that worked well for students when working on whole numbers may interfere with students’ developing understanding of fractions (Lamon, 1999). Furthermore, fractions make up a multifaceted concept, consisting of five interrelated constructs: part-whole, measure, operator, quotient, and ratio (Kieren, 1976). Because each construct captures different aspects of fractions, constructing a comprehensive schema of fractions requires developing a robust understanding of all five constructs and of their confluence (Behr et al., 1993).

Research has also shown that instruction may impede the learning of fractions, especially when (1) it fails to build on students’ prior knowledge (Mack, 2001), (2) it emphasizes rote learning at the expense of conceptual understanding (Ball, 1993; Mack, 2001), (3) it introduces formal symbols and algorithms before familiarizing students with the different aspects of the notion of fractions (Smith, 2002), or (4) it emphasizes only one of the constructs (usually the part-whole construct, (Moss & Case, 1999). Textbooks, as one tool of instruction, may contribute to compounding or ameliorating such difficulties. In the framework used in the present study such criteria are given detailed consideration.

Findings obtained from this study are thought to be important for those who guide educational policies and those who contribute to the preparation of the mathematics curriculum. Educational research studies are important for shedding light on the development of educational reforms and the curricula prepared in this direction. In this context, it is thought that the institutions or individuals involved in this process will contribute to matching the findings obtained from this study with targeted outputs in educational policies or curricula.

This is a study conducted in the form of special case analysis. Further qualitative or interventional research that focuses on teachers’ classroom practices, student preferences for representation and ability to use representations might be useful to investigate and broaden the results obtained in this study. It is also contemplated that tools developed to determine the representation usage competencies or skills, perceptions or attitudes towards representations of students (or teachers) will contribute to quantitative and qualitative studies with subject of “multiple representations and mathematics learning”.

The results of the research indicate that students are experiencing difficulties in verbal representations of addition and subtraction operations in fractions. In order to develop the skills of problem-solving in the development of these skills, it is important to establish a relationship between real life situations and fractions (Abu-Elwan, 2002, Akay & Boz, 2008, Dickerson, 1999, Işık, Işık, & Kar, 2011). Moreover, these activities support the conceptual understanding in the students (Akay, 2006; Crespo and Sinclair, 2008; English, 1998, 2003; Işık, 2011; Stickles, 2006; Toluk-Uçar, 2009). For these reasons, teachers should provide students with the opportunity to express themselves in a verbal and linguistic way in order to improve their problem-solving skills in lessons and give students opportunities to problem-solve as well as problem-solving methods.
Again, while the verbal questions are being asked, the scenario part should be cut in half and the students should be asked to complete or the part of the question root should be left empty, students should be gained expressing fractions.

Fractions are conceptually abstract for middle school students. When the concept of fractions is explained only by verbal expressions or numerical representations, students cannot understand these concepts that are abstract to them (Piaget, 1952). For this reason, the use of models and teaching materials that represent multiple forms of representation in the teaching of addition and subtraction in fractions is crucial for the realization of meaningful learning. This study can be regarded as a study that especially sheds light on teacher and teacher candidates.
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Appendix

Multiple Representations In Fraction Operations Test

This test consists of 8 questions. There are 3 sub-questions in each question. Please answer carefully.

Activities

1) Perform the operation given below and answer the questions a, b, c accordingly.

\[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{8} = \]

a) Perform this operation using numerals.
b) Perform this operation on the number line.
c) Pose a problem related to this operation.

2) Perform the operation given below and answer the questions a, b and c accordingly.

\[ \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{3} = \]

a) Perform this operation on the number line.
b) Perform this operation using model representation.
c) Perform this operation using numerals.

4) “Ezgi read three tenths of her story book first, and then two tenths.” So, how many of the book did Ezgi read?” Express the problem given in this text verbally and solve it, and answer the questions in a, b, c accordingly.

a) Perform this operation on the number line.
b) Perform this operation using model representation.
c) Perform this operation using numerals.

5) Perform the operation given below and answer the questions a, b, c accordingly.

6) Perform the operation given below and answer the questions a, b, c accordingly.

7) Perform the operation given below and answer the questions a, b, c accordingly.
8) "How many more pizza did Ahmet, who ate one half, ate compared to Zeynep, who ate one fourth of the pizza?" Express the problem given in this text verbally and solve it, and answer the questions in a, b, c accordingly.

   a) Perform this operation on the number line.
   b) Perform this operation using a model.
   c) Perform this operation using numerals.